



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/534,703	03/24/2000	Kester Lijen Fong	10991522	2510
24251	7590 02/20/2004		EXAMINER	
SKJERVEN MORRILL LLP			CHAVIS, JOHN Q	
25 METRO DRIVE SUITE-700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN JOSE, CA 95110			2124	n
			DATE MAILED: 02/20/2004	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	J
Office Action Summary	09/534,703	FONG ET AL.	
Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	John Chavis	2124	_
Period for Reply	in appears on the cover sheet w	nur die correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ion. 5, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOV statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice un 	This action is non-final. Ilowance except for formal mat		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-2, 5-7, 10-12, 15-17, and 20-3</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-2, 5-7, 10-12, 15-17, and 20-3</u> 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and all of the content of the	thdrawn from consideration.	tion.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exact 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the county The oath or declaration is objected to by the specific specific and the specific	accepted or b) objected to to the drawing(s) be held in abeya correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for 	ments have been received. ments have been received in A e priority documents have beer ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	8) Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Art Unit: 2124

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings were received on 11-26-03. These drawings are acceptable by the examiner.

Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the length exceeds 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States

Art Unit: 2124

only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1-2, 5-7, 10-12, 15-17, 20-21, 24 and 29-32 are rejected under 35

U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Boukobza (6,122,664).

Claims:

Claim 1: A Method of managing software components, said method comprising:

deploying one or more software components on a plurality of computer platforms wherein said components interoperate with each other to execute a business application;

monitoring said components with an Administrator.

said Administrator functioning independently of said components,

Said Administrator comprising a Central Administrator and a plurality of Distributed Administrators where each computer platform has one of the Distributed Administrators;

determining a need to reconfigure one or more said components based upon a health status message from an agent in a computer platform to a Distributed

Boukobza

See the title and the abstract.

See the autonomous agent installed in each node, via the abstract. In reference to executing a business application, see col. 3 lines 12–16, which indicates that the objects are part of a "production environment".

See again the abstract, which indicates that monitoring is configured (i.e. in The Administrator) and then distributed (deployed) from the management node (Administrator) to autonomous agents, installed on nodes to be monitored (i.e. a plurality of computer platforms).

See Boukobza's fig. 1.

See fig. 1 in which the management node is central; while, the managed nodes or autonomous agents are distributed.

See col. 2 lines 39-65.

Art Unit: 2124

Administrator in the computer platform,

based upon a process schedule check of the computer platform by the Distributed Administrator, or based upon a health status message from each Distributed Administrator to the Central Administrator:

See col. 3 lines 2-6.

modifying or replacing one or more Said components using said Administrator in response to said determining;

See col. 3 lines 30-39.

wherein, said monitoring, said determining, and said modifying are performed without reference to said computer platforms and wherein said modifying or replacing reconfigures said business application without terminating said business application.

Boukobza's system functions independently of operating platforms (col. 3 lines 51–59) and provides for dynamic modification of components (i.e. without terminating), see col. 3 line 60-col. 4 line 4.

Claim 2: The method of Claim 1, wherein two or more of said plurality of computer platforms are geographically separated from each other.

See figure 1.

Claim 5: The method of Claim 1, wherein said monitoring comprises receiving health status messages each containing only changes in health status since receipt of a last health status message.

Boukobza indicates parameter curves selected by the Administrator for their importance are provided (i.e. only changes can be selected). Also, note that change of state data is provided back to the Administrator, col. 3 lines 30-39.

In reference to claims (6-7, and 10), (11-12, and 15), (16-17 and 20), (29-30 and 31), see the rejections of claims 1-2 and 5 above.

As per claims 21 and 32, see col. 5 lines 19-23 and lines 47-62.

Art Unit: 2124

Claim 24 is taught via the cited portions of claim 5.

6. Claims 1-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jarriel et al. (6,553,403).

Claims:

Claim 1: A Method of managing software components, said method comprising:

deploying one or more software components on a plurality of computer platforms wherein said components interoperate with each other to execute a business application;

monitoring said components with an Administrator.

said Administrator functioning independently of said components,

Said Administrator comprising a Central Administrator and a plurality of Distributed Administrators where each computer platform has one of the Distributed Administrators;

determining a need to reconfigure one or more said components based upon a health status message from an agent in a computer platform to a Distributed Administrator in the computer platform,

<u>Jarriel</u>

See the abstract.

See the dispatching function of fig. 4 and note the manager 14 and the managed nodes 16, which interoperate with each other to execute a business application, col. 1 lines 9–50, see specifically lines 14–15 and col. 2 lines 29–41.

See the remote site management (i.e. in The Administrator) in col. 4 lines 7-24.

See again fig. 4 and col. 6 lines 38-50.

See fig. 4 and col. 4 lines 7-24.

See col. 2 lines 50-col. 3 lines 15.

Art Unit: 2124

based upon a process schedule check of the computer platform by the Distributed Administrator, or based upon a health status message from each Distributed Administrator to the Central Administrator:

modifying or replacing one or more Said components using said Administrator in response to said determining;

wherein, said monitoring, said determining, and said modifying are performed without reference to said computer platforms and wherein said modifying or replacing reconfigures said business application without terminating said business application.

Claim 2: The method of Claim 1, wherein two or more of said plurality of computer platforms are geographically separated from each other.

Claim 5: The method of Claim 1, wherein said monitoring comprises receiving health status messages each containing only changes in health status since receipt of a last health status message.

See specifically lines 62-65, which specifies that corrective action is taken if possible. It is further specified that a remote action may be required, col. 1 lines 62-67.

See col. 9 lines 37–43, which indicates that the system is dynamic and works with queued events (i.e. without terminating).

See figures 1 and 4.

See col. 8 lines 61-65, which conveys status changes (i.e. only changes). See also, col. 7 lines 43-45, which indicates that status messages may be handled (which includes receiving) Locally or remotely.

In reference to claims (6-7, and 10), (11-12, and 15), (16-17 and 20), (29-30 and 31), see the rejections of claims 1-2 and 5 above.

As per claims 21 and 32, see the rejection of claim 5 above, specifically when the

Art Unit: 2124

Problems cannot be handled locally (critical).

The features of claims 22-23 are taught via co. 6 lines 51-60.

Claim 24 is taught via the cited portions of claim 5.

In reference to claims 25-26, see col. 7 lines 35-52.

As per claim 27, see again in claim 5 the events that cannot be handled locally And also see that the event may be critical, col. 8 lines 46-47.

The features of claim 28 are taught by the routing features of col. 9 lines 62-67. Claims 33-39 are taught via claims 22-28, respectively.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/534,703 Page 8

Art Unit: 2124

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Chavis whose telephone number is (703) 305-965. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki can be reached on (703) 305-9662. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jqc February 17, 2004

JOHN CHAVIS

PATENT EXAMINER

ART UNIT 2124